

HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF OPERATOR GROUPS

MICHAEL WALTER

ABSTRACT. In this text we summarize some of the results of [Nee02, Sec. II]. More precisely, we will first show in Section 2 that $\mathrm{GL}(H)$ is contractible for infinite-dimensional H ; this is Kuiper's theorem. We then use this result in Section 3 in order to prove that several other classical operator groups are contractible. In Section 4 we recall some results of Palais regarding the topology of infinite-dimensional vector spaces; these are then used to compute the homotopy groups of infinite matrix groups (in Sec. 5) and of congruence subgroups for the Schatten ideals $B_p(H)$ (in Sec. 6).

Notation. We consider real and complex Hilbert spaces ([Nee02] also handles the quaternionic case). The Banach spaces of bounded and compact operators on H are denoted by $\mathrm{B}(H)$ and $\mathrm{K}(H)$, respectively, and the space of Hermitian (i.e. self-adjoint) operators is denoted by $\mathrm{Herm}(H)$. We write $\mathrm{GL}(H)$ and $\mathrm{U}(H)$ for the invertible and unitary operators, respectively.

Linear Banach-Lie groups. Recall that both $\mathrm{GL}(H)$ and $\mathrm{U}(H)$ are *Banach-Lie groups*. Their respective Lie algebras are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{gl}(H) &:= \mathrm{B}(H) \\ \mathfrak{u}(H) &:= \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(H) : X^* = -X\}\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Nee06]). Furthermore we have a *polar decomposition* implemented by the diffeomorphism

$$\mathrm{U}(H) \times \mathrm{Herm}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H), (u, X) \mapsto ue^X$$

In particular, $\mathrm{U}(H)$ is a deformation retract of $\mathrm{GL}(H)$.

1. KUIPER'S THEOREM

In this section we want to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Kuiper's theorem). *$\mathrm{GL}(H)$ is contractible for every infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H .*

The proof for separable H can be found in [Kui65]; thus we will only consider the inseparable case. The following theorem due to Palais shows that in fact it will be sufficient to show that all maps $\mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H)$ are homotopic to a constant map.

Theorem 2. *A metrizable topological manifold modeled over a sequentially complete locally convex space is contractible if and only if all homotopy groups vanish.*

Proof. [Pal66, Cor. to Thm. 15]. □

The following lemma allows us to decompose any Hilbert space into the direct sum of copies of l^2 ; this will turn out to be rather convenient in what follows.

Lemma 3. *Let H be a Hilbert space, $M \subseteq B(H)$ a separable set of operators. Then there exists an orthogonal decomposition*

$$H \cong \bigoplus^{\perp} H_j$$

into closed, separable, M -invariant subspaces $(H_j)_{j \in J}$.

If H is infinite-dimensional, the H_i can be chosen to be infinite-dimensional as well, so that

$$H \cong l^2(J, l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}))$$

Proof. (1) We may assume w.l.o.g. that $M = M^* \ni 1$. Zorn's lemma yields a maximal set $(H_j)_{j \in J}$ of non-zero, pairwise orthogonal, closed, separable, M -invariant subspaces of H . Let $H_0 := \overline{\sum H_j}$.

Assume $H_0 \neq H$. Since H_0 is $M^{(*)}$ -invariant, H_0^{\perp} is $M^{(*)}$ -invariant. Thus for any $0 \neq v \in H_0^{\perp}$ the closed, separable, M -invariant subspace $H_{\infty} := \overline{\text{span}(Mv)}$ is orthogonal to the H_j , contradicting maximality.

(2) Now assume that H is infinite-dimensional. Consider

$$J_0 := \{j \in J : \dim H_j < \infty\}$$

If J_0 is finite, there is some $j \in J \setminus J_0$ and we can simply append the finitely-many finite-dimensional subspaces to H_j .

If J_0 is infinite, then $\#J_0 = \#(J_0 \times \mathbb{N})$ and J_0 can be decomposed into (infinitely many) countably infinite sets.. Thus we can replace the finite summands by infinite-dimensional separable ones. \square

The following proposition concludes the proof of Kuiper's theorem.

Proposition 4. *If X is a separable topological space and H is an inseparable Hilbert space, then every continuous map $f : X \rightarrow \text{GL}(H)$ is homotopic to a constant map.*

Proof. (1) The main ingredient of the proof is the following "trick": For every $x \in \text{GL}(H)$, we have a path

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^2), t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t(x^{-1} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t(x - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -tx^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

connecting 1 and $\text{diag}(x, x^{-1})$.

(2) Since $f(X)$ is a separable set of operators, the lemma yields

$$H \cong l^2(J, l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}))$$

such that the operators act diagonally (on the "outer" l^2).

Since H is inseparable, the index set J must be (uncountably) infinite. Thus we can decompose $J = J_1 \dot{\cup} J_2$ into disjoint sets of equal cardinality $\#J = \#J_1 = \#J_2$ which in turn leads to an orthogonal decomposition $H \cong H \oplus H$ which $f(X)$ acts diagonally on. Thus we can regard f as a map

$$f = \text{diag}(g_1, g_2) \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \text{diag}(g_1 g_2, 1) =: \text{diag}(g, 1) =: \tilde{f}$$

(3) We need to create some more space before we can finish the proof. Since $\#J = \#(J \times \mathbb{N})$, we can decompose the (second summand) H further as follows:

$$H \cong H \oplus H \cong H \oplus l^2(\mathbb{N}, H)$$

In this picture, \tilde{f} corresponds to the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= \text{diag}(g, 1, 1, \dots) = \text{diag}(g, 1, 1, \dots) \underbrace{\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \dots)}_{\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \text{diag}(g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}, \dots)} \\ &\cong \text{diag}(1, g, g^{-1}, \dots) = \text{diag}(1, g, g^{-1}, \dots) \underbrace{\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \dots)}_{\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \text{diag}(1, g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}, \dots)} \cong 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5. $U(H)$ is contractible for every infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H .

2. CONTRACTIBILITY OF OTHER CLASSICAL LINEAR LIE GROUPS

In this section H will denote a *complex* Hilbert space with a *conjugation* I , i.e. an antilinear isometry with $I^2 = 1$.

Then we can consider the groups

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}(H, I) &:= \{g \in \text{GL}(H) : g^{-1} = Ig^*I^{-1}\} \\ \text{U}(H, I) &:= \text{GL}(H, I) \cap \text{U}(H) \end{aligned}$$

Example 6. Complex conjugation $\bar{\cdot}$ is a conjugation in $L^2 := L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. In that case,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}(L^2, \bar{\cdot}) &= \{g \in \text{GL}(L^2) : \overline{g^{-1}f} = g^*\bar{f} \quad (\forall f \in L^2)\} \\ \text{U}(L^2, \bar{\cdot}) &= \{g \in \text{U}(L^2) : \overline{g^*f} = g^*\bar{f} \quad (\forall f \in L^2)\} \end{aligned}$$

For instance, $f \mapsto -f \in \text{U}(L^2, \bar{\cdot})$.

It follows from Kuiper's theorem that these groups are contractible as well. More precisely, we have the following results.

Proposition 7. *We have*

$$\text{U}(H, I) \cong \text{U}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^I)$$

where $H^I := \{x \in H : Ix = x\}$.

In particular, $\text{U}(H, I)$ is contractible for infinite-dimensional H .

Proof. Consider the continuous group homomorphism

$$\text{U}(H, I) \rightarrow \text{U}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^I), u \mapsto u|_{H^I}$$

which is well-defined since every element in $\text{U}(H, I)$ commutes with I . The relation $H = H^I \oplus iH^I$ now shows how to construct a continuous inverse. □

Proposition 8. *We have a polar decomposition*

$$\text{GL}(H, I) \cong \text{U}(H, I) \times \text{Herm}(H, I)$$

with $\text{Herm}(H, I) := \{X \in \text{Herm}(H) : X = -IX^*I^{-1}\}$.

Thus $\text{GL}(H, I)$ is contractible for infinite-dimensional H .

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\in \text{Aut}(\text{GL}(H)), g \mapsto I(g^*)^{-1}I^{-1} \\ \tau_{\mathfrak{g}} &\in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gl}(H)), X \mapsto -IX^*I^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{GL}(H, I) = \text{GL}(H)^{\tau}$ and $\tau(g) = \tau(u)e^{\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)}$ is the *unique* polar decomposition of $g = ue^X \in \text{GL}(H)$. Thus $\tau(g) = g$ if and only if $u \in \text{U}(H, I)$ and $x \in \text{Herm}(H, I)$.

Consequently, the polar decomposition in $\mathrm{GL}(H)$ restricts to the desired polar decomposition for $\mathrm{GL}(H, I)$, and contractibility follows from the preceding lemma. \square

See [Nee02, Sec. II.2] for a treatment of other classical linear Lie groups such as those arising from *anti*conjugations ($I^2 = -1$).

3. TOPOLOGY OF INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES

The following results are also due to Palais.

Theorem 9. (i) *Let X be a locally convex topological vector space and $E \subseteq X$ a dense subspace endowed with the direct limit topology with respect to the finite-dimensional subspaces. If $U \subseteq X$ is an open subset and $U \cap E$ is considered with the subspace topology in E , then the continuous inclusion $U \cap E \hookrightarrow U$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

(ii) *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between metrizable locally convex topological vector spaces and $U \subseteq Y$ open. Then $f|_{f^{-1}(U)} : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. [Pal66, Thm. 12 and 16]. \square

Lemma 10. *Let E be a real vector space endowed with the direct limit topology with respect to its finite-dimensional subspaces. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *Each linearly independent subset is closed and discrete.*
- (ii) *Each compact subset is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace.*
- (iii) *For each subset $U \subseteq E$ and $u_0 \in U$ we have*

$$\pi_k(U, u_0) \cong \varinjlim_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \pi_k(U \cap F, u_0)$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the directed set of all finite-dimensional spaces $F \subseteq E$ containing u .

Proof. (i) Every linearly-independent subset $S \subseteq E$ is closed since its intersection with every finite-dimensional subspace is closed (even finite). By the same argument, every subset of S is closed; hence S is discrete.

(ii) Suppose $C \subseteq E$ is compact. Take a maximal linearly independent subset $S \subseteq C$. By (i), S is compact and discrete, hence finite. Thus C is contained in the finite-dimensional subspace $\mathrm{span} S$.

(iii) By (ii), the image of any continuous map $(\mathbb{S}^k, 1) \rightarrow (U, u_0)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq E$. It follows that the natural homomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \pi_k(U \cap F, u_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(U, u_0)$$

is surjective. The same argument also shows injectivity since every homotopy has compact domain. \square

4. HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF THE STABLE MATRIX GROUPS

The *matrix algebra* with index set J is defined as

$$\mathrm{M}(J, \mathbb{K}) := \{(m_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{K}^{J \times J} : \text{only finitely many } m_{i,j} \neq 0\}$$

It is unital if and only if J is finite. The *group of invertible matrices* is then given by

$$\mathrm{GL}(J, \mathbb{K}) := (1 + \mathrm{M}(J, \mathbb{K}))^\times$$

For $F \subseteq J$ we have natural identifications $M(F, \mathbb{K}) \subseteq M(J, \mathbb{K})$ and $GL(F, \mathbb{K}) \subseteq GL(J, \mathbb{K})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M(J, \mathbb{K}) &= \varinjlim M(F, \mathbb{K}) \\ GL(J, \mathbb{K}) &= \varinjlim GL(F, \mathbb{K}) \end{aligned}$$

This holds even if we only consider *finite* subsets $F \subseteq J$, which is what we will do now. Then there are natural topologies on the $M(F, \mathbb{K})$ and $GL(F, \mathbb{K})$. Thus we endow $M(J, \mathbb{K})$ and $GL(J, \mathbb{K})$ with the respective final topologies so that the above direct limits can also be understood in the topological sense.

Note that in general multiplication will *not* be (jointly) continuous (but left- and right- multiplication will always be).

Proposition 11. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k(M(J, \mathbb{K})) &= \varinjlim \pi_k(M(F, \mathbb{K})) \\ \pi_k(GL(J, \mathbb{K})) &= \varinjlim \pi_k(GL(F, \mathbb{K})) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 10 (iii). □

Note that we recover the familiar matrix algebras and groups for $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ (together with their natural topology).

Proposition 12. *Every injection $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow J$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence $GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow GL(J, \mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. We can assume w.l.o.g. that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq J$.

(1) Suppose $F, \tilde{F} \subseteq J$ are finite disjoint subsets with equal cardinality. Then using the same “trick” as in the proof of Proposition 4 we see that every continuous map $X \rightarrow GL(F, \mathbb{K})$ is homotopic in $GL(J, \mathbb{K})$ to a continuous map $X \rightarrow GL(\tilde{F}, \mathbb{K})$.

(2) Surjectivity: Let $[f] \in \pi_k(GL(J, \mathbb{K}))$. In view of Lemma 10 (ii) the image of f is contained in some $GL(F, \mathbb{K})$ for finite $F \subseteq J$. But by part (1) we can homotope f to a map with image in $GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$; this is a preimage.

(3) Injectivity: Let $[f] \in \ker(\pi_k(\text{incl}))$, i.e. there is a homotopy H between f and the constant map 1 in $GL(J, \mathbb{K})$. Again by compactness, the image of H is contained in some $GL(F, \mathbb{K})$ for finite $F \subseteq J$. Thus it follows from $GL(F, \mathbb{K}) \cong GL(\#F, \mathbb{K}) \subseteq GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ that f is nullhomotopic already in $GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$. □

Corollary 13. *For every infinite J and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have*

$$\pi_k(GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})) \cong \pi_k(GL(J, \mathbb{K}))$$

The following classical results by Bott [Bot59] describe the homotopy groups of $GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$. In view of the preceding corollary they hold for arbitrary stable matrix groups $GL(J, \mathbb{K})$, J infinite.

Theorem 14 (Stability). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough the maps $GL(n, \mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow GL(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ induce isomorphisms*

$$\pi_k(GL(n, \mathbb{K})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_k(GL(n+1, \mathbb{K}))$$

(the homotopy groups “stabilize”) so that

$$\pi_k(GL(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})) \cong \pi_k(GL(n, \mathbb{K}))$$

Sketch of proof. Let $d := \dim \mathbb{K}$. The transitive action

$$U(n+1, \mathbb{K}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{S}^{d(n+1)-1}$$

leads to a locally trivial principal bundle

$$U(n, \mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow U(n+1, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d(n+1)-1}$$

The long exact sequence for this fibration is given by

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(\mathbb{S}^{d(n+1)-1}) \rightarrow \pi_k(U(n, \mathbb{K})) \rightarrow \pi_k(U(n+1, \mathbb{K})) \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathbb{S}^{d(n+1)-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

and the fact that the outer homotopy groups vanish for $k+1 < d(n+1) - 1$ implies the first claim.

The second assertion now follows from 11. \square

Theorem 15 (Bott Periodicity). *We have the following periodicity relations*

$$\pi_k(\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})) \cong \pi_{k+2}(\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$$

$$\pi_k(\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})) \cong \pi_{k+8}(\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$$

so that we can determine the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ from the following table:

	$\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$	$\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$
π_0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
π_1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{Z}
π_2	0	0
π_3	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}
π_4	0	0
π_5	0	\mathbb{Z}
π_6	0	0
π_7	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}

5. HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS OF THE SCHATTEN IDEALS

In this section, H is again a \mathbb{K} -Hilbert space. The *Schatten ideals* are the Banach spaces defined by

$$B_p(H) := \{x \in B(H) : \|x\|_p < \infty\}$$

$$\|x\|_p := \left(\mathrm{tr}((x^*x)^{p/2}) \right)^{1/p}$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$. We also define

$$B_\infty(H) := K(H)$$

$$\|\cdot\|_\infty := \|\cdot\|$$

They have the following properties.

Proposition 16 (cf. my Zwischentreffen talk).

- (i) The $B_p(H)$ are ideals in $B(H)$.
- (ii) We have

$$B_{\mathrm{fin}}(H) \subseteq B_1(H) \subseteq B_p(H) \subseteq B_q(H) \subseteq B_\infty(H) \subseteq B(H)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

- (iii) For any $x = \sum a_j \langle \cdot, e_j \rangle f_j \in B_\infty(H)$ with ONB (e_j) , (f_j) we have

$$\|x\|_p = \|(a_j)\|_{l^p}$$

- (iv) If (e_j) is any ONB of H , the set of projections $\{\langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_j\}$ is total in each of the spaces $B_p(H)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$.

The *congruence subgroups* of the Schatten ideals and the corresponding unitaries are the Banach-Lie groups given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{GL}_p(H) &:= \mathrm{GL}(H) \cap (1 + \mathrm{B}_p(H)) \\ \mathrm{U}_p(H) &:= \mathrm{GL}_p(H) \cap \mathrm{U}(H)\end{aligned}$$

Their Lie algebras are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{gl}_p(H) &:= \mathrm{B}_p(H) \\ \mathfrak{u}_p(H) &:= \mathrm{B}_p(H) \cap \mathfrak{u}(H)\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Once again we have a polar decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_p(H) \cong \mathrm{U}_p(H) \times \mathrm{Herm}_p(H)$$

with $\mathrm{Herm}_p(H) := \mathrm{Herm}(H) \cap \mathrm{B}_p(H)$ (cf. [Nee00, Def. IV.20, Prop. A.4]).

Theorem 17. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional \mathbb{K} -Hilbert space and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\pi_k(\mathrm{GL}_p(H)) \cong \pi_k(\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}))$
- (ii) *The inclusion map $\mathrm{GL}_p(H_s) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_p(H)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every infinite-dimensional separable subspace $H_s \subseteq H$.*
- (iii) *The inclusion map $\mathrm{GL}_p(H) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_q(H)$ is a homotopy equivalence for $p \leq q$.*

Proof. (i) Fix an ONB (e_j) . By the previous proposition, $\mathrm{B}_0(H) := \mathrm{span}\{\langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_j\} \subseteq \mathrm{B}_p(H)$ is dense. We endow $\mathrm{B}_0(H)$ with the direct limit topology with respect to the directed set of its finite-dimensional subspaces.

Then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{B}_0(H) \cong \mathrm{M}(J, \mathbb{K})$ by means of the basis (e_j) which restricts to the natural identification of $(\mathrm{GL}_p(H) - 1) \cap \mathrm{B}_0(H)$ with $\mathrm{GL}(J, \mathbb{K}) - 1$ if the former is endowed with the subspace topology of $\mathrm{B}_0(H)$.

On the other hand Theorem 9 (i) yields that $(\mathrm{GL}_p(H) - 1) \cap \mathrm{B}_0(H)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_p(H) - 1$ are weakly homotopy equivalent – again if the former is endowed with the subspace topology of $\mathrm{B}_0(H)$.

Consequently we get a weak homotopy equivalence between $\mathrm{GL}_p(H)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(J, \mathbb{K})$, the latter group in turn being homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ by Corollary 13.

(ii) The claim follows from the commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}) & \xrightarrow[\text{(i)}]{w\text{-}\cong} & \mathrm{GL}_p(H_s) \\ w\text{-}\cong \downarrow \text{12} & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GL}(J, \mathbb{K}) & \xrightarrow[\text{(i)}]{w\text{-}\cong} & \mathrm{GL}_p(H) \end{array}$$

(iii) $\mathrm{B}_p(H) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_q(H)$ is a dense subset; that is, the inclusion $\mathrm{B}_p(H) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{B}_q(H)$ has dense range. Theorem 9 (ii) now shows that the inclusion $\mathrm{GL}_p(H) - 1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_q(H) - 1$ is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Finally, we want to compute the homotopy groups of the groups

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{GL}_p(H, I) &:= \mathrm{GL}_p(H) \cap \mathrm{GL}(H, I) \\ \mathrm{U}_p(H, I) &:= \mathrm{U}_p(H) \cap \mathrm{GL}(H, I)\end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have a *polar decomposition*

$$\mathrm{GL}_p(H, I) \cong \mathrm{U}_p(H, I) \times \mathrm{Herm}_p(H, I)$$

with $\text{Herm}_p(H, I) := \text{Herm}_p(H) \cap \text{Herm}(H, I)$ (inherited from the groups we have intersected).

Corollary 18. *Let H be a infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space with conjugation I , and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\pi_k(\text{GL}_p(H, I)) \cong \pi_k(\text{GL}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$
- (ii) *The inclusion map $\text{GL}_p(H_s, I|_{H_s}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_p(H, I)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every infinite-dimensional separable I -invariant subspace $H_s \subseteq H$.*
- (iii) *The inclusion map $\text{GL}_p(H, I) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_q(H, I)$ is a homotopy equivalence for $p \leq q$.*

Proof. Using polar decomposition we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_p(H, I) &\simeq \text{U}_p(H, I) = \text{U}_p(H) \cap \text{U}(H, I) \\ &\stackrel{7}{\cong} \text{U}_p(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap \text{U}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^I) = \text{U}_p(H_{\mathbb{R}}^I) \simeq \text{GL}_p(H_{\mathbb{R}}^I) \end{aligned}$$

Thus all three claims follow from the corresponding assertions of Theorem 17. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bot59] Raoul Bott. The stable homotopy of the classical groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 70:313–337, 1959.
- [Kui65] Nicolaas H Kuiper. The homotopy type of the unitary group of hilbert space. *Topology*, 3:19–30, 1965.
- [Nee00] Karl-Hermann Neeb. Infinite-dimensional Lie groups and their representations, Lectures at the European School in Group Theory, 2000.
- [Nee02] Karl-Hermann Neeb. Classical Hilbert-Lie Groups, their Extensions and their Homotopy Groups. In *Geometry and Analysis on Lie Groups*, volume 55 of *Banach Center Publications*. Polish Academy of Sciences, 2002.
- [Nee06] Karl-Hermann Neeb. Infinite-dimensional Lie groups, lectures at the Monastir Summer School, 2006.
- [Pal66] Richard S Palais. Homotopy theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds. *Topology*, 5:1–16, 1966.