

# HILBERT-SCHMIDT AND TRACE CLASS OPERATORS

MICHAEL WALTER

Let  $H \neq 0$  be a Hilbert space. We denote by  $B(H)$  and  $K(H)$  the algebra of bounded respective compact operators on  $H$  and by  $B_{\text{fin}}(H)$  the subspace of operator of finite rank. We write  $\cong$  if two spaces are isometrically isomorphic. The space of bounded sequences with index set  $J$  is denoted by  $l^\infty(J)$ , its (closed) subspace of zero sequences by  $c_0(J)$  and the subspace of sequences with finite support by  $c_{\text{fin}}(J)$ . The space of (square) summable sequences is written as  $l^2(J)$  and  $l^1(J)$ , respectively.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that we have the following hierarchy classic sequence spaces:

$$c_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq l^1(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq l^2(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq c_0(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

They are Banach spaces (for  $c_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N})$ ) and commutative algebras;  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$  even is a Hilbert space.

Similarly, we have the following chain of **operator algebras**:

$$B_{\text{fin}}(H) \subseteq? \subseteq? \subseteq K(H) \subseteq B(H)$$

They are Banach spaces (except for  $B_{\text{fin}}(H)$ ) and algebras, although **non-commutative** in general.

The following proposition shows that we can in a sense interpret these operator algebras as the non-commutative analoga of the respective sequence spaces.

**Proposition 1.** *For any orthonormal system  $(e_n)$  in  $H$  we have an isometric algebra homomorphism*

$$\Phi : l^\infty \rightarrow B(H), (a_n) \mapsto x \mapsto \sum_n a_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n$$

with  $\Phi^{-1}(B_{\text{fin}}(H)) = c_{\text{fin}}$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(K(H)) = c_0$ .

*Proof.* We only prove that  $\Phi$  is well-defined and an isometry:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_n a_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n \right\|^2 &= \sum_n |a_n|^2 |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \| (a_n) \|_{l^\infty}^2 \sum_n |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 = \| (a_n) \|_{l^\infty}^2 \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\| \sum_n a_n \langle e_m, e_n \rangle e_n \| = |a_m|$ , hence  $\| \Phi((a_n)) \| = \| (a_n) \|_{l^\infty}$ . □

It is thus natural to ask the following **questions**: (1) What operator algebras correspond to  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ ? (2) Which familiar results from the theory of sequence spaces generalize to the non-commutative case?

## 2. HILBERT-SCHMIDT OPERATORS

We define the space of **Hilbert-Schmidt operators** as

$$\begin{aligned} B_2(H) &:= \{A \in B(H) : \|A\|_2 < \infty\} \\ \|A\|_2 &:= \sqrt{\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is an ONB of  $H$ . This is a normed space.

An easy calculation shows that this definition does not depend on the choice of basis:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A \in B(H)$  and let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}, (f_j)_{j \in J}$  be two ONBs. Then:*

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^* f_j\|^2 \in [0, \infty]$$

---

*Date:* Last modified May 30, 2019; previously modified February 8, 2010.

*Acknowledgements:* I thank Mustafa Hamadi for pointing out a typo.

BASED ON [Nee96]

*Proof.* Suppose the first limit exist. Then by Fubini we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} |\langle Ae_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I} |\langle A^* f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^* f_j\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence the second limit exists and agrees.  $\square$

The following facts follow easily from the preceding.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A \in B_2(H)$ . Then:*

(i)  $\|A^*\|_2 = \|A\|_2$

(ii)  $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$

(iii)  $B_2(H)$  is an “operator ideal” in  $B(H)$ , i.e.  $B(H)B_2(H)B(H) \subseteq B_2(H)$

*Proof.* (i) Lemma 2.

(ii) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $e \in H$  such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|Ae\| \geq \|A\| - \epsilon$ , extend to an ONB  $(e_i)$ . Then

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \sum_i \|Ae_i\|^2 \geq \|Ae\|^2 \geq (\|A\| - \epsilon)^2$$

(iii) It is clear that  $B_2(H)$  is a left ideal; (i) shows that it is a right ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.**  $(B_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_i \langle B^* A e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle A e_i, B e_i \rangle$$

and  $B_{\text{fin}}(H)$  is a dense subspace.

*Proof.* Consider the mapping

$$\Psi : c_{\text{fin}}(I \times I) \rightarrow B_2(H), \delta_{(i,j)} \mapsto \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_j$$

From the calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi((a_{i,j}))\|^2 &\leq \|\Psi((a_{i,j}))\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}|^2 = \|(a_{i,j})\|_{l^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\Psi$  has a continuous extension  $l^2(I \times I) \rightarrow B(H)$  which is a surjective isometry onto  $B_2(H)$ . Thus the latter is also a Hilbert space with dense subspace  $\Psi(c_{\text{fin}}(I \times I)) = B_{\text{fin}}(H)$ .

The formula for the inner product is easily obtained using the polarization identity.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.**  $B_2(H) \subseteq K(H)$

*Proof.* Theorem 4 and Proposition 3, (ii).  $\square$

Any Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $A \in B_2(H) \subseteq K(H)$  can be written as a series

$$A = \sum_n a_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n$$

with  $(a_n) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and orthonormal systems  $(e_n), (f_n)$ . We can easily calculate its norm from any such representation:

**Proposition 6.**

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_n |a_n|^2} = \|(a_n)\|_{l^2}$$

Thus a compact operator is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if and only if its coefficients are in  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

Finally we will reveal the intimate connection between the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $H$  and the tensor product of  $H$  with its dual.

**Proposition 7.** *The space of Hilbert-Schmidt operator is naturally isometrically isomorphic to the tensor product  $H^* \otimes H$  via*

$$\Phi : H^* \otimes H \rightarrow B_2(H), \lambda \otimes f \mapsto \lambda f$$

*Proof.* The mapping  $\Phi$  is induced by the bilinear map  $(\lambda, f) \mapsto \lambda f$ , hence well-defined. Choose an ONB  $(e_i)$  of  $H$ . Then  $\langle \cdot, e_i \rangle \otimes e_j$  is an ONB of the tensor product  $H^* \otimes H$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle \otimes e_j)\|_2^2 &= \|\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_j\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}|^2 = \|\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle \otimes e_j\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. Thus  $\Phi$  is also surjective since its range includes the dense set of finite-rank operators. Now apply the bounded inverse theorem.  $\square$

### 3. TRACE CLASS OPERATORS

We define the space of **trace class** (or **nuclear**) **operators** to be

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(H) &:= \{A \in B_2(H) : \|A\|_1 < \infty\} \\ \|A\|_1 &:= \sup\{|\langle A, B \rangle_2| : B \in B_2(H), \|B\| \leq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

This is a normed space.

Let us first collect some facts about this space.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $A \in B_1(H)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\|A^*\|_1 = \|A\|_1$
- (ii)  $\|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$
- (iii)  $B_1(H)$  is an “operator ideal” in  $B(H)$ , i.e.  $B(H)B_1(H)B(H) \subseteq B_1(H)$
- (iv)  $B_2(H)B_2(H) \subseteq B_1(H)$

*Proof.* (i) We have  $\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \langle B^*, A^* \rangle_2$  since both sides define inner products inducing the same norm (apply the polarization identity). This in turn implies the claim.

(ii) This follows from  $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$ .

(iii) In view of (i) we only have to show that  $B_1(H)$  is a left ideal; this follows readily from  $\langle CA, B \rangle_2 = \langle A, C^*B \rangle_2$ .

(iv) Let  $A, B, C \in B_2(H)$  and  $\|B\| \leq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle CA, B \rangle_2| &= |\langle A, C^*B \rangle_2| \leq \|A\|_2 \|C^*B\|_2 \\ &= \|A\|_2 \|B^*C\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|B^*\| \|C\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|C\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\|CA\|_1 \leq \|C\|_2 \|A\|_2$ .  $\square$

We define the **trace** of a trace class operator  $A \in B_1(H)$  to be

$$\text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

where  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is an ONB of  $H$ . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if  $H$  is finite-dimensional.

The following lemma shows that the definition make sense.

**Lemma 9.** *The series converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.*

*Proof.* Choose  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle| = \lambda_i \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle$  and  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  ( $i \in I$ ). Then for every finite subset  $I_0 \subseteq I$  we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |\langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle| &= \sum_i \lambda_i \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i \langle A, \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle A, \sum_i \lambda_i \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle_2 \leq \|A\|_1 \|\sum_i \lambda_i \langle \cdot, e_i \rangle e_i\| \leq \|A\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

This implies absolute convergence.

If  $(f_j)_{j \in J}$  is any other ONB we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \langle Ae_i, f_i \rangle \langle f_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_{j,i} \langle f_i, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, A^* f_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle f_i, A^* f_i \rangle = \sum_j \langle A f_i, f_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence the trace is independent from the particular choice of basis.  $\square$

We now collect some facts about the trace which resemble the finite-dimensional case.

**Proposition 10.** (i)  $\text{tr} \in B_1(H)'$  with  $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$

(ii)  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$  for  $A \in B_1(H)$ ,  $B \in B(H)$  and  $A, B \in B_2(H)$ , respectively

*Proof.* (i) By the proof of the preceding lemma we have  $\|\text{tr}\| \leq 1$ . Equality follows by considering an orthogonal projection.

(ii) If  $A \in B_1(H)$  is Hermitian and  $B \in B(H)$  we can take an ONB of eigenvectors  $(e_i)$  with  $Ae_i =: \lambda_i e_i$  for real eigenvalues  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_i \langle AB e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle B e_i, A e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle B A e_i, e_i \rangle = \text{tr}(BA) \end{aligned}$$

If  $A \in B_1(H)$  is a general trace class operator we can still write it as a sum  $A = B + iC$  with Hermitian  $B, C \in B_1(H)$ . The claim then follows from the complex bilinearity of  $(A, B) \mapsto \text{tr}(AB)$  and  $(A, B) \mapsto \text{tr}(BA)$ .

For  $A, B \in B_2(H)$  the claim follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_i \langle AB e_i, e_i \rangle = \langle B, A^* \rangle \\ &= \langle A, B^* \rangle = \sum_i \langle B A e_i, e_i \rangle = \text{tr}(BA) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let us write a trace class operator  $A \in B_1(H)$  as a series

$$A = \sum_n a_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n$$

with  $(a_n) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and orthonormal systems  $(e_n), (f_n)$ . Again it is easy to calculate its norm and trace from this representation:

**Proposition 11.**

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &= \sum_n |a_n| = \| (a_n) \|_{l^1} \\ \text{tr}(A) &= \sum_n a_n \langle f_n, e_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus a compact operator is a trace class operator if and only if its coefficients are in  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$ .

*Proof.* We only show the first equality; the second one is immediate from the definition of  $\text{tr}$ .

( $\leq$ ) For any  $B \in B_2(H)$  with  $\|B\| \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A, B \rangle_2| &\leq \sum_n |a_n| |\langle \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n, B \rangle_2| \\ &\leq \sum_n |a_n| |\langle f_n, B e_n \rangle| \leq \sum_n |a_n|, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\|A\|_1 \leq \sum_n |a_n|$ .

( $\geq$ ) Choose  $b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|a_n| = a_n b_n$  and  $|b_n| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and define

$$B_N := \sum_{n=1}^N b_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n$$

Clearly  $B_N \in B_2(H)$  and  $\|B_N\| \leq 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &\geq |\langle A, B_N \rangle_2| = \left| \sum_n \langle Ae_n, B_N e_n \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n b_n \right| = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \uparrow \sum_n |a_n| \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

It follows that we can approximate any trace class operator using finite rank operators:

**Corollary 12.**  $B_{\text{fin}}$  is a dense subspace of  $(B_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\|A - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n\|_1 \stackrel{11}{=} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . □

We can also deduce that every trace class operator is the product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

**Proposition 13.**  $B_2(H)B_2(H) = B_1(H)$

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) was proved in Proposition 8, (iv).

( $\supseteq$ ) Define

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sum_n \sqrt{a_n} \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n \\ C &= \sum_n \sqrt{a_n} \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle e_n \end{aligned}$$

Then  $B$  and  $C$  are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, and  $A = BC$ . □

Note that

$$B_1(H) \times B(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (A, B) \mapsto \text{tr}(AB)$$

is a continuous pairing since we have

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \|AB\|_1 \leq \|A\|_1 \|B\|$$

This pairing induces the following two isometric isomorphisms.

**Theorem 14.**  $B_1(H) \cong K(H)'$  and  $B_1(H)' \cong B(H)$

*Proof.* (1) We show that

$$B_1(H) \rightarrow K(H)', B \mapsto \text{tr}(\cdot B)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Linearity is obvious. It is almost by definition of  $\|\cdot\|_1$  that the mapping is an isometry. Hence it remains to show surjectivity. Let  $\varphi \in K(H)'$ . Then for all  $A \in B_2(H)$  we have

$$|\varphi(A)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|A\| \leq \|\varphi\| \|A\|_2,$$

hence  $\varphi|_{B_2(H)} \in B_2(H)'$ . Take the unique  $B \in B_2(H)$  such that

$$\varphi|_{B_2(H)} = \langle \cdot, B \rangle_2 = \langle B^*, \cdot \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(\cdot B^*)$$

From this we see that the continuity of  $\varphi$  implies that  $B^*$  is of trace class, and density of  $B_2(H) \subseteq K(H)$  shows that  $B^*$  is a preimage of  $\varphi$ .

(2) We show that

$$B(H) \rightarrow B_1(H)', B \mapsto \text{tr}(\cdot B)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Again, it is obvious that the mapping is a linear isometry. We show surjectivity. Let  $\varphi \in B_1(H)'$ . Since for  $e, f \in H$

$$\|\langle \cdot, e \rangle f\|_1 = \|e\| \|f\|$$

TABLE 1. Comparison of sequence and operator spaces

Sequence spaces	Operator spaces
$c_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N})$ dense in $l^1(\mathbb{N})$ ,	$B_{\text{fin}}(H)$ dense in $B_1(H)$ ,
$l^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $c_0(\mathbb{N})$	$B_2(H)$ and $K(H)$
$l^1(\mathbb{N}) = l^2(\mathbb{N})l^2(\mathbb{N})$	$B_1(H) = B_2(H)B_2(H)$
$(a_n) \mapsto \sum_n a_n \in l^1(\mathbb{N})'$	$\text{tr} \in B_1(H)'$
$c_0(\mathbb{N})' \cong l_1(\mathbb{N})$	$K(H)' \cong B_1(H)$
$l_1(\mathbb{N})' \cong l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$	$B_1(H)' \cong B(H)$

we see that the mapping  $f \mapsto \varphi(\langle \cdot, e \rangle f)$  is in  $H'$ . Hence there is a unique  $\varphi_e \in H$  such that

$$\langle f, \varphi_e \rangle = \varphi(\langle \cdot, e \rangle f) \quad \forall f \in H$$

and  $\|\varphi_e\| \leq \|\varphi\| \|e\|$ . Thus  $B : H \rightarrow H, e \mapsto \varphi_e$  defines a bounded operator. And the calculation

$$\varphi(\langle \cdot, e \rangle f) = \langle f, Be \rangle = \langle \langle \cdot, e \rangle f, B \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(\langle \cdot, e \rangle f B^*)$$

together with density of  $B_{\text{fin}}(H) \subseteq B_1(H)$  shows that  $B^*$  is a preimage of  $\varphi$ . □

**Corollary 15.**  $(B_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space.

#### 4. SUMMARY

Propositions 6 and 11 show that the algebras of Hilbert-Schmidt and trace class operators are the natural non-commutative analoga of  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ , respectively. That is, we have the following chains which correspond in the sense of Proposition 1:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N}) &\subseteq l^1(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq l^2(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq c_0(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq l^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \\ B_{\text{fin}}(H) &\subseteq B_1(H) \subseteq B_2(H) \subseteq K(H) \subseteq B(H) \end{aligned}$$

In table 1 we have summarized some familiar facts about sequence spaces together with their non-commutative counterparts (which we have proved in the preceding).

#### REFERENCES

- [Nee96] Karl-Hermann Neeb. Skript zur Vorlesung Spektral- und Darstellungstheorie. <http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/fbgebiete/AlgGeoFA/staff/neeb/skripten/Spektraltheorie-SS96.pdf>, 1996.